

Nature-Based Solutions to Mitigate the Impact of Mussel Farming and reintroduce the *homarus gammarus* lobster species, Spain

Case Study

The European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.), a decapod crustacean of the Nephropidae family, is a species of high ecological and economic relevance across the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. From the Arctic coasts of Norway to North Africa, *H. gammarus* plays an important role as a benthic predator and supports valuable coastal fisheries (Triantafyllidis et al., 2005; FAO, 2024). It also forms part of the cultural heritage of many coastal communities, where lobsters have featured not only as a food source but also as symbols of artisanal traditions and maritime identity (Spanier et al., 2015).

However, populations have experienced substantial declines due to overfishing, habitat degradation, climate change, and other anthropogenic pressures (Agnalt et al., 2007; Kleiven et al., 2022). Long-term datasets document significant reductions across much of the species' range, from the North Sea to the Adriatic and Atlantic coasts (Sundelöf et al., 2013; Matic-Skoko et al., 2022).

Restoration initiatives, including hatchery-based stock enhancement, habitat restoration, and marine protected areas, have shown varying levels of success (Green et al., 2013; Moland et al., 2013; Ellis et al., 2015). Advances in genetics, aquaculture, and habitat management now provide new opportunities for more effective, integrated lobster conservation and recovery approaches.

This guide compiles current knowledge and best practices for *H. gammarus* restoration, aiming to support practitioners, researchers, and managers in designing science-based, ecosystem-oriented initiatives.

Restoration planning and site selection.....	2
Historical and ecological assessment.....	2
Site selection criteria.....	3
Stakeholder Engagement.....	4
Permitting considerations for lobster restoration: the case of Spain.....	5
EU legal and strategic context.....	6
Genetic management and considerations.....	6

Genetic diversity and local adaptation	6
Broodstock selection and management	7
Production methods for enhancement.....	8
Hatchery facilities and rearing systems	8
Nutrition and feeding regimens	8
Water quality management	9
Environmental enrichment	10
Health assessment and quality control	10
Release strategies.....	10
Optimal size and age for release	10
Pre-release conditioning	11
Habitat and substrate considerations	12
Timing of release	12
Transportation and release methods.....	13
Monitoring and evaluation.....	14
Success criteria and Indicators	14
Monitoring methods	15
Data management and analysis	16
Adaptive management.....	16
Integration with broader management approaches	16
Case studies and lessons learned.....	17
Norway: Kvitsøy enhancement program (1990-1994).....	17
Germany: Helgoland artificial reef project (2000-2009).....	18
United Kingdom: Long-term restoration programs	18
Galicia, Spain: Adaptive restoration strategies	18
References.....	19

Restoration planning and site selection

Historical and ecological assessment

Effective restoration planning requires a thorough assessment of historical and current ecological conditions. Key elements include:

- **Historical abundance:** Review available fisheries records, scientific surveys, and local ecological knowledge to reconstruct past lobster population levels (Spanier et al., 2015).
- **Causes of decline:** Identify key drivers such as overfishing, habitat degradation, climate change, and pollution (Agnalt et al., 2007; Kleiven et al., 2022).
- **Current status:** Assess lobster densities, habitat quality, and environmental pressures in the target area (Sundelöf et al., 2013). Where historical records are lacking or inconsistent, structured ecological assessments, participatory monitoring, and integration of traditional ecological knowledge from small-scale fisheries can provide critical information to guide restoration planning.

Many assessments still rely heavily on short-term catch statistics, which may fail to detect early signs of population stress. Addressing this gap requires collaboration between scientists, resource managers, and stakeholders through co-produced research designs, participatory monitoring programmes, and targeted investment in science communication to support evidence-based management.

Site selection criteria

Careful site selection is critical for the success of lobster restoration initiatives. Several key factors should be considered:

Criterion	Optimal conditions	Notes
Habitat suitability	Rocky reefs, cobble fields, or complex substrates with abundant shelter	Natural boulder fields, crevices, or artificial reef structures promote settlement and survival (Howard & Bennett, 1979; Howard & Nunny, 1983)
Water quality	Good dissolved oxygen (>6 mg/L), stable salinity (32–35 ppt), and low pollution	Historical data on temperature and water quality can help predict site stability (Whiteley & Taylor, 1990)
Hydrodynamics	Moderate water movement without extreme wave action	Strong currents or high exposure can increase juvenile mortality (van der Meeren, 2000)
Food availability	Diverse benthic prey communities	Presence of mussels, crabs, and other invertebrates is

		beneficial (Wahle & Steneck, 1991; van der Meeren, 2000)
Existing lobster presence	Some evidence of natural lobster activity	Complete absence may indicate unsuitable conditions or barriers to recolonization (Galparsoro et al., 2009)
Protection status	Inclusion within Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) or fishing restriction zones	Reduced fishing pressure improves survival rates (Moland et al., 2013)
Accessibility	Practical access for monitoring, maintenance, and potential reinforcement releases	Operational logistics should be factored into site selection

In sites where natural habitats have been degraded or heavily modified, habitat enhancement measures such as artificial reefs, substrate restoration, or kelp forest rehabilitation can significantly improve habitat suitability before stocking. Studies have shown that structures like shipwrecks (Krone & Schröder, 2011), kelp beds (Smale et al., 2022), and designed artificial reefs (Taormina et al., 2020; Roach et al., 2022) provide critical shelter and promote higher survival rates of juvenile lobsters. Where possible, habitat complexity should be maximized to provide shelter for different life stages and reduce predation risk during the critical early benthic phase.

Stakeholder Engagement

Early and sustained engagement with stakeholders is critical to the success and long-term viability of lobster restoration initiatives. Key groups to involve include:

- **Fishing communities:** Commercial and recreational fishers often hold valuable local knowledge about lobster distribution, habitat conditions, and historical trends. Their active participation can enhance restoration outcomes, particularly through contributions of broodstock, support during release operations, and participatory monitoring (Bannister & Addison, 1998; National Lobster Hatchery, UK).
- **Regulatory authorities:** Coordination with fisheries management bodies and environmental agencies ensures compliance with legal frameworks and facilitates the integration of restoration actions within broader conservation and fisheries management plans (Blythe & Cvitanovic, 2020).
- **Scientists and technical experts:** Multidisciplinary teams integrating expertise in lobster biology, genetics, habitat ecology, aquaculture, and socioeconomics can provide more comprehensive and resilient restoration designs (Ellis et al., 2017; De Wit et al., 2022).

- **Local communities:** Public education and inclusive engagement strategies foster broader social support for restoration programmes. Initiatives that integrate traditional ecological knowledge and provide opportunities for community participation tend to achieve more durable outcomes (Blythe & Cvitanovic, 2020; Thébaud et al., 2023).
- **Funding partners:** Identifying sustainable financial support, including public, private, and philanthropic sources, is critical for both implementation and long-term monitoring.

Establishing clear governance structures and communication channels among stakeholders helps to align expectations, define roles, and facilitate adaptive management. Successful experiences show that co-production of knowledge, through reciprocal exchange between scientists, fishers, and local communities, can enhance both the legitimacy and the effectiveness of restoration measures (Thébaud et al., 2023; Haugen et al., 2024).

Permitting considerations for lobster restoration: the case of Spain

Obtaining the appropriate permits is a fundamental step in any marine restoration initiative involving the release of organisms or the use of marine space. While procedures vary across countries and regions, most projects require authorisation from the competent environmental authority, which is often regional in scope. Permits may cover the release of cultured animals, the deployment of artificial habitats, and the use of specific sites for monitoring or research purposes.

For practitioners unfamiliar with the process, the first step is to identify the responsible agency, usually within the regional departments of environment, fisheries, or marine affairs. In Spain, for example, these responsibilities are decentralised. Each autonomous community manages its own permitting system, and requests must be submitted to the corresponding regional authority. A brief technical project summary is usually required, detailing the purpose, number and origin of animals, release site and method, and expected outcomes.

In Galicia, recent restoration work with European lobster under the CLIMAREST project was authorised by the Xunta de Galicia. The procedure was relatively efficient, with a response provided within approximately one month. Once the permit was granted, additional requirements included notifying the authority shortly before the release, requesting authorisation for diving activities in the designated area, and securing a transport guide for each movement of lobsters between hatchery and field sites. These steps help ensure traceability, biosecurity and coordination with other marine users, such as aquaculture operators.

Although the permitting process is not excessively complex, it must be planned in advance and adapted to the legal and administrative structure of each region. Based on recent experience, early contact with local authorities and a clear scientific justification have proven essential for obtaining timely and constructive approval.

EU legal and strategic context

Marine and coastal restoration efforts in Europe are shaped by a combination of strategic frameworks, international commitments and emerging policy instruments that reflect the urgency of addressing biodiversity loss, climate change and ecosystem degradation.

The **EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030** sets ambitious targets for ecosystem restoration, aiming to legally protect at least 30 percent of the EU's land and sea and to restore degraded habitats at scale. This strategy is aligned with the broader objectives of the **European Green Deal**, which promotes ecological resilience as part of a sustainable and carbon-neutral economy.

Restoration is also central to the **United Nations Decade on Ecosystem Restoration (2021–2030)** and the global **Post-2020 Biodiversity Framework**, reinforcing the need for coordinated actions that deliver measurable ecological and social benefits.

While there is no single regulation dedicated to marine restoration, projects must operate within existing legal frameworks such as the **Marine Strategy Framework Directive**, the **Habitats Directive**, and national spatial planning and environmental permitting systems. These instruments help ensure that restoration actions are coherent with conservation objectives and avoid unintended impacts on marine biodiversity.

In parallel, the **Horizon Europe Mission Ocean** promotes innovation and demonstration projects that test scalable restoration solutions in priority ecosystems. These missions emphasise co-development with stakeholders, integration of multidisciplinary knowledge and the use of standardised monitoring and evaluation tools.

To ensure long-term effectiveness, restoration initiatives are encouraged to follow recognised international standards such as those developed by the **Society for Ecological Restoration**. These principles provide guidance on stakeholder engagement, reference ecosystems, monitoring design and the continuum of restorative actions.

Together, these strategic and regulatory frameworks support the development of science-based, inclusive and goal-oriented restoration programmes across European marine regions.

Genetic management and considerations

Genetic diversity and local adaptation

Maintaining genetic diversity and preserving local adaptation are fundamental principles for sustainable lobster restoration. Key best practices include:

- **Use of local broodstock:** Whenever possible, spawners should originate from the target restoration area to preserve traits adapted to local environmental conditions (Jørstad & Farestveit, 1999).
- **Definition of "local":** Genetic studies suggest that "local" may vary depending on regional population structures. In some areas, such as Cornwall (UK), broodstock can be sourced broadly across the region (Ellis et al., 2017), while in others, finer-scale differentiation requires more precise sourcing.
- **Maintaining genetic diversity:** To avoid inbreeding and bottlenecks, broodstock collection should prioritise large effective population sizes and apply rotational breeding strategies (Jørstad et al., 2005).
- **Genetic monitoring:** Regular assessment of genetic diversity in captive and released populations is recommended. Advanced tools such as SNP marker panels allow tracking of parentage, diversity, and integration success (De Wit et al., 2022).

Historical studies revealed significant genetic differentiation between northern and southern populations (Triantafyllidis et al., 2005), reinforcing the need for locally adapted restoration strategies. Modern genomic tools now provide unprecedented resolution for monitoring the outcomes of enhancement programmes (Ellis et al., 2024).

Broodstock selection and management

Best practices for broodstock selection and management include:

- **Health screening:** Select healthy individuals free of shell disease and other visible pathogens. Screening for bacterial infections and parasites remains standard practice (Egidius, 1972; Hastein et al., 1977).
- **Diverse size classes:** Include a range of size and age classes among broodstock to reflect natural population structures and enhance genetic variation (Hepper & Gough, 1978).
- **Balanced sex ratios:** Maintain approximately 1:1 male-to-female ratios during breeding to maximize reproductive success (Debusse et al., 1999; 2003).
- **Origin documentation:** Record detailed information about the geographic origin of broodstock to facilitate later genetic assessments and avoid unintended mixing of distinct lineages (De Wit et al., 2022).

Advances in reproductive biology, including knowledge of hatching rhythms, fertilisation processes, and early larval development (Yonge, 1946; Ennis, 1973; Goudeau & Goudeau, 1986; Goudeau et al., 1990), provide a strong foundation for improving broodstock management practices. Genetic integrity must be a priority across all stages of restoration, as poorly managed broodstock practices can compromise the long-term viability and resilience of restored populations.

Production methods for enhancement

Hatchery facilities and rearing systems

Effective production of high-quality juveniles requires specialised hatchery facilities adapted to the biological needs of *H. gammarus*. Key system features include:

- **Broodstock holding units:** Temperature-controlled tanks with appropriate shelters and minimal disturbance are considered standard practices in crustacean aquaculture to optimise egg production and hatching success (Browne et al., 2009).
- **Larval rearing systems:** Upwelling tanks that maintain larvae in suspension and ensure high water quality through continuous exchange and filtration remain the standard (Beard & Wickins, 1985).
- **Post-larval and juvenile rearing systems:** Upon reaching Stage IV, juveniles are transferred into individual compartments or subdivided tanks with appropriate shelter structures. This approach helps prevent aggressive encounters and cannibalism during early benthic phases (Stages IV–VI), while promoting natural shelter-seeking behaviour and enhancing survival (Wickins et al., 1996a; Wickins et al., 1996b; van der Meeren, 2001; Browne et al., 2009; Aspaas et al., 2016). Maintaining adequate water flow, high prey density (e.g., ≥ 1 Artemia/ml), and minimal handling is recommended to optimise growth and survival (Browne et al., 2009).

Rearing can be carried out using:

- **Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS):** Offer precise environmental control and year-round production capacity with higher operational costs (Drengstig & Bergheim, 2013).
- **Sea-Based Container Culture (SBCC):** Inexpensive, low-maintenance systems suitable for growing juveniles up to 6–8 months in natural conditions (Perez-Benavente et al., 2010; Clarke et al., 2023).
- **Hybrid approaches:** Combining early indoor rearing with later sea-based grow-out for gradual environmental acclimation. (Knudsen & Tveite, 1999; Jørstad et al., 2001).

Nutrition and feeding regimens

Nutritional management is critical throughout development:

- **Larvae:** Require live feeds such as *Artemia nauplii* enriched with essential fatty acids to support growth and survival. Lecithin supplementation improves development (Conklin et al., 1980), while diets enriched with marine oils or probiotics enhance gut health and early performance (Kurmakaly et al., 1990; Daniels et al., 2010). In addition to live feeds, frozen crustaceans such as *Artemia*, mysidaceans or copepods may be used as inert supplements,

offering flexibility in feeding strategies. In some cases, high-quality formulated dry feeds have also shown promising results for early-stage lobsters

- **Juveniles:** Thrive on high-protein formulated diets (35–40%) adapted to their growth stage (Lucien-Brun et al., 1985; Goncalves et al., 2020).

Feeding schedules should match natural nocturnal rhythms, preferably using automated feeders. Recent advances in sustainable feed ingredients, including shrimp waste meal and insect protein (Goncalves et al., 2022, 2024), show promising results for maintaining growth while reducing environmental impact.

Water quality management

Maintaining optimal water parameters is essential for survival and development:

Parameter	Optimal range	Notes
Temperature	18–20°C (larvae and early juveniles)	Critical for metabolism and growth (Beard et al., 1985)
Salinity	32–35 ppt	Stability is essential; fluctuations can affect moulting (Wickins et al., 1995)
Dissolved oxygen	>6 mg/L	Hypoxia reduces survival; oxygenation should be monitored (Criddle & Chang, 1985)
pH	8.0–8.2	Stable pH supports proper shell development (Middlemiss et al., 2016)
Ammonia (NH ₃)	<0.02 mg/L	Toxic at higher levels (Wickings, 1985)
Nitrite (NO ₂)	<0.1 mg/L	Toxic even at low concentrations (Wickins, 1985)

Beyond basic water parameters, **treatment methods** also play a crucial role in larval health. Water treatment using ozone has been shown to enhance survival and reduce pathogen loads more effectively than UV or probiotics (Middlemiss et al., 2015). Additionally, maintaining **beneficial microbial communities** can support the development of both the digestive and immune systems, providing long-term resilience against environmental stressors (Attramadal et al., 2021).

Environmental enrichment

Environmental enrichment improves survival, growth, and behavioural development of hatchery-reared European lobsters. Key elements include:

- **Substrates:** Mixed sand, gravel, shell, and small stones mimic natural conditions and encourage shelter-seeking behaviour (Carere et al., 2015).
- **Shelters:** Providing shelters of varied sizes reduces aggression and promotes natural behaviours, improving survival rates after release (Aspaas et al., 2016).
- **Hard surfaces:** Access to prey items like oyster spat stimulates crusher claw development, increasing the proportion of lobsters with functional crusher claws (Wickins, 1986; van der Meeren, 2000).
- **Spatial design:** Distributing shelters and feeding points encourages natural exploration, reduces dominance hierarchies, and supports behavioural competence (Latini et al., 2023).

Studies consistently demonstrate that juveniles raised in enriched environments exhibit enhanced shelter-seeking ability, reduced stress indicators, and better survival post-release (Aspaas et al., 2016; Agnalt et al., 2017; Carere et al., 2015). As such, behavioural conditioning through enrichment is increasingly recognised as a key component of ethical and effective lobster restoration programmes.

Health assessment and quality control

Regular health monitoring should include:

- **Water quality monitoring:** Maintain optimal levels of temperature, salinity, oxygen, and ammonia to prevent growth impairment and mortality (Schmalenbach & Buchholz, 2013).
- **Routine health inspections:** Conduct regular checks for signs of disease, parasites, or physical injuries to ensure early intervention.
- **Stress minimization:** Reduce handling and environmental stressors during all life stages to enhance survival and quality (van der Meeren, 2005).
- **Biosecurity protocols:** Implement strict hygiene and preventive measures to protect stock health and performance.
- **Quarantine of new broodstock:** Isolate incoming broodstock before integration to prevent the introduction of pathogens.
- **Record-keeping and audits:** Maintain detailed logs of health status, treatments, and environmental parameters for ongoing improvement and traceability.

Release strategies

Optimal size and age for release

Release strategies vary depending on project goals:

- **Early release:** Typically refers to the liberation of postlarvae at stages IV–V, around 12–22 days after hatching at 18–20 °C, with a carapace length of approximately 5–6.5 mm. At this stage, individuals have just settled on the bottom and are highly vulnerable, but early release allows large-scale restocking with minimal hatchery costs.. This enables large-scale releases but exposes individuals to higher early mortality (e.g., Bridlington Bay programme; Addison & Bannister, 1994).
- **Delayed release:** Involves rearing juveniles for 3–10 months, until they reach 13–40+ mm CL (typically stages IX–XV). These larger juveniles exhibit a fully demersal lifestyle and significantly improved post-release survival, although production is more intensive (Addison & Bannister, 1994).

Choosing the optimal strategy involves a balance:

Releasing early benthic juveniles () allows for large-scale stocking at relatively low cost, but these individuals face high mortality due to predation and environmental exposure. In contrast, rearing juveniles to larger sizes (stages VIII–XII, 20–40 mm CL) over several additional months requires more resources but significantly enhances post-release survival, particularly when suitable habitat is available (Addison & Bannister, 1994).

Survival rates reported for hatchery-reared juveniles vary widely, from as low as 10–20% in early release stages to over 50% in larger, late-stage juveniles, depending on habitat structure, predator pressure, and local conditions (Bannister et al., 1994; Addison & Bannister, 1994).

Pre-release conditioning

Pre-release conditioning prepares juveniles for wild conditions. Some measures are critical to minimise stress and mortality, while others enhance post-release survival but are considered optional best practices:

- **Temperature acclimation (Critical):** Gradual adjustment to match conditions at the release site is essential to avoid thermal shock during handling and deployment. Sudden temperature changes can disrupt respiratory function and acid–base balance, especially during aerial exposure, increasing the risk of post-release mortality (Whiteley & Taylor, 1995).
- **Handling tolerance training (Critical):** Progressive exposure to handling reduces physiological stress and improves survival during transport and release (Linnane et al., 1997; van der Meeren, 1991).
- **Predator exposure (Recommended):** Controlled exposure to predator chemical cues and visual stimuli enhances predator recognition skills, although not critical if shelter availability is high (Mackie and Shelton, 1972).

- **Live prey introduction (Recommended):** Practising with natural prey items promotes faster adaptation to wild feeding conditions, improving post-release fitness (van der Meeren, 2000; Aspaas et al., 2016).
- **Current exposure (Recommended):** Gradual introduction to hydrodynamic conditions simulating the release site can improve locomotion and shelter-seeking behaviour (Mehrtens et al., 2005; Schmalenbach et al., 2011).
- **Temporary higher density holding (Recommended):** Pre-release exposure to higher densities promotes appropriate social behaviours and reduces maladaptive aggression after release (Carere et al., 2015).

Habitat and substrate considerations

The selection of an appropriate habitat is critical to maximise post-release survival and long-term population establishment. Key factors include:

- **Optimal substrates (Critical):** Rocky reefs, cobble fields, artificial structures, and mussel beds offer abundant shelter, reducing predation risk and supporting natural behaviours. Recent studies highlight the value of shipwrecks (Krone and Schröder, 2011), kelp forests (Smale et al., 2022), and engineered artificial reefs (Taormina et al., 2020; Roach et al., 2022) in significantly enhancing lobster survival rates.
- **Suboptimal areas (Critical to avoid):** Unvegetated sand or mud flats should be avoided, as they offer minimal protection and expose juveniles to immediate predation.
- **Depth considerations (Recommended):** Intermediate depths (10–18 m) are often considered suitable for juvenile lobster releases, as they may offer a balance between environmental stability, food availability, and reduced predation pressure. However, successful releases have also been reported in shallower areas accessible from shore, where local fishers or monitoring programmes have recorded high recapture rates. Site-specific conditions, such as habitat complexity and fishing effort, are likely to influence survival more than depth alone, and should be assessed prior to release.
- **Predation risk (Critical consideration):** Habitat choice directly influences predation levels. Van der Meeren (2000) reported that poorly chosen habitats can lead to predation rates exceeding 10% within the first hour post-release, primarily by wrasses in summer and by Atlantic cod and shorthorn sculpin in winter.
- **Use of protected areas (Recommended best practice):** Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) or other no-take zones offer significantly higher survival prospects due to reduced fishing pressure. Targeted habitat enhancements within MPAs have been shown to increase lobster resilience (Kraufvelin et al., 2023), and substantial population recoveries have been documented following protection (Moland et al., 2013; Amelot et al., 2024).

Timing of release

The timing of release is critical to maximise survival and settlement success. Key elements include:

- **Seasonal timing (Critical):** Releases should ideally be scheduled when water temperatures consistently exceed 12 °C, which in many regions corresponds to spring or early summer. Laboratory and field observations (Schmalenbach and Buchholz, 2013) confirm improved juvenile survival rates above this thermal threshold. Earlier studies (Thomas, 1958; Dow, 1978) also emphasised the strong correlation between temperature, productivity, and lobster activity patterns. However, in lower-latitude regions or areas where seawater remains above 12 °C in autumn or winter, the optimal release window may be broader and should be defined by local temperature dynamics rather than calendar season alone.
- **Daily timing (Recommended best practice):** Nocturnal releases are recommended to reduce immediate predation by visually hunting fish predators. Studies have consistently shown higher predation rates during daylight hours (van der Meeren, 2000).
- **Predator abundance (Recommended):** Avoid periods characterised by high concentrations of octopus or predatory fish species. Van der Meeren (2000) highlighted that predation can exceed 10% within the first hour post-release, especially when predator densities are elevated.
- **Weather conditions (Recommended):** Select calm sea conditions to minimise transport stress, dispersal by currents, and physical disorientation. High hydrodynamic forces at the time of release can negatively impact settlement success and early survival (Krone and Schröder, 2011; Stamp et al., 2024).
- **Lunar phase (Recommended):** Releases during new moon phases may reduce predation and enhance dispersal. Ferrero et al. (2002) suggested that darker nights and stronger spring tides during new moons improve hatchling survival and facilitate larval dispersal, offering potential advantages for timing release events.

Transportation and release methods

Careful transportation and release techniques significantly impact post-release survival. Transport protocols must be adapted to the size and developmental stage of the juveniles, as smaller individuals are much more sensitive to stress, dehydration, and handling than larger ones.

- **Transport containers:** Use insulated containers to maintain stable temperatures close to release site conditions. Transport in moist air (with wet newspaper or cloth) is suitable for larger juveniles (>30 mm carapace length), but very small juveniles (<20 mm CL) should ideally be transported submerged in aerated seawater to ensure sufficient oxygen supply and prevent desiccation (van der Meeren, 1991; Linnane et al., 1997).
- **Journey duration:** Minimise transport time as much as possible. Smaller juveniles are particularly vulnerable to transport stress, and survival rates decline with longer journeys. While larger juveniles may tolerate moderate journey times if humidity and oxygenation are properly maintained, shorter transport durations are always preferable to maximise post-release survival.

- **Moisture levels:** For air transport, maintain high humidity using damp materials, but avoid prolonged water exposure unless active aeration is ensured. Loss of moisture during transport has been shown to increase stress and negatively affect post-release behaviour (van der Meeren, 1991).
- **Handling procedures:** Minimise physical handling during loading, transit, and release. Handling stress can compromise immune function and increase the risk of mortality and predation after release.

Release techniques:

- **Direct seabed placement by divers** is the preferred method, particularly for small juveniles, as it allows immediate sheltering and reduces exposure to predators and currents.
- **Phased introduction using temporary protective structures** (e.g., artificial shelters) can offer critical early protection, promoting shelter-seeking behaviour during the most vulnerable post-release period (Wahle & Steneck, 1991).
- **Surface release** should only be considered for larger juveniles (>30 mm CL) and in sheltered, shallow habitats where access to suitable shelters is immediate.

To optimise transport and release success, conditions should be tailored to the size and developmental stage of the lobsters, and local pilot trials should be conducted where possible. Maintaining physiological health and behavioural competence throughout the entire process is key to supporting successful establishment in the wild.

Monitoring and evaluation

Success criteria and Indicators

The appropriate duration of monitoring in lobster restoration projects depends on several factors, including the size and life stage of released individuals, the release strategy, and the tracking methods employed. For example, when using acoustic telemetry, the effective monitoring window is typically constrained by the moulting cycle and the operational life of the tags, often ranging from several weeks to a few months. In contrast, releases involving smaller juveniles, particularly when supported by recapture surveys or fishery collaboration, may enable broader, longer-term assessments.

Instead of adopting fixed timeframes, monitoring periods should be defined according to the project's objectives and the biological processes of interest, such as post-release shelter selection, dispersal, or eventual recruitment to the fishery.

The following indicators are commonly used to evaluate the success of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) restoration initiatives, structured by temporal scale:

- **Short-term (1–2 years)**
 - *Survival rates*: Reported survival after one year can vary widely, often between 30–70%, depending on size at release, habitat quality, and predator presence (van der Meeren, 2000).
 - *Site fidelity*: Studies have observed 60–80% of lobsters remaining within 500 m of release sites (Moland et al., 2019).
 - *Predation rates*: Early post-release predation rates below 20% are often considered acceptable (van der Meeren, 2000), but local conditions may cause variation
- **Medium-term (3–5 years)**
 - *Growth rates*: Growth comparable to wild populations suggests good adaptation, but hatchery-reared lobsters may initially show slower growth (Bannister et al., 1994).
 - *Health condition*: Monitoring absence of major injuries, parasites, and shell disease supports evaluation of post-release welfare (Davies & Wootton, 2018).
 - *Behavioural patterns*: Natural foraging, shelter use, and movement behaviour are key indicators of functional integration (Skerritt et al., 2015).
- **Long-term (5+ years)**
 - *Recruitment to fishery*: Recapture rates of released lobsters in fisheries have ranged from <5% to 15%, depending on project scale and site selection (Moksness et al., 1998).
 - *Reproductive success*: Detection of breeding among released individuals confirms successful ecological integration (Agnalt, 2008).
 - *Population structure*: Establishment of multi-age cohorts suggests self-sustaining populations (Sheehy et al., 1999).

Important note:

Rather than setting rigid targets, it is recommended to define specific success thresholds based on project goals, species biology, local conditions, and initial baseline assessments.

Monitoring methods

Monitoring methods should be appropriate to project scale, resources, and key questions:

- **Tagging approaches:**
 - *Visible Implant Fluorescent Elastomer (VIFE) tags*: High retention and easy underwater identification for small-scale monitoring (Uglem, 1996).
 - *Coded microwire tags*: Cost-effective for mass releases but require specialised detectors (Walker, 1986; Bannister et al., 1994).
 - *Genetic tracking*: Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) panels allow parentage assignment without physical tags (De Wit et al., 2022).

- **Field surveys**

- *Scientific trapping*: Standardised trap surveys provide quantitative data on survival, growth, and distribution.
- *Diver surveys*: Visual counts and habitat observations in shallow areas, though limited by diver availability and visibility.
- *Fishery-dependent sampling*: Collaboration with fishers offers broader spatial and temporal monitoring, though data require careful validation.

Advanced technologies such as acoustic telemetry or eDNA offer exciting research possibilities, especially for site validation and understanding habitat use. However, they are not typically used for routine monitoring of large-scale releases unless project resources and objectives justify it (Smith et al., 2000; Skerritt et al., 2015; Stamp et al., 2024).

Data management and analysis

Robust data management supports meaningful interpretation:

- Use standardised protocols across sites and seasons.
- Implement digital tools for field data collection to minimise errors (e.g., mobile apps).
- Maintain a centralised, secure database with clear metadata and access protocols.
- Apply appropriate statistical methods that account for environmental variability and baseline conditions.
- Consider developing modelling approaches (e.g., survival, recruitment, spatial models) when sufficient data accumulate.

Adaptive management

Restoration programmes should follow an adaptive management framework:

- Set initial expectations but remain flexible to adjust based on results.
- Conduct regular reviews of monitoring data (at least annually in early stages).
- Adjust release strategies, production methods, or site selection as needed.
- Maintain stakeholder involvement throughout monitoring and decision-making to strengthen program ownership.

By using monitoring as a learning tool rather than a rigid audit, restoration initiatives can adaptively improve and contribute to broader conservation goals.

Integration with broader management approaches

Successful European lobster restoration requires integration with broader fisheries management, habitat protection, and community engagement strategies. Key elements include:

- **Protected areas and fishing regulations:** Restoration efforts are most effective when combined with spatial protection measures. Releases within Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) have shown significant increases in lobster abundance, often exceeding 500% compared to adjacent fished areas (Moland et al., 2013; Amelot et al., 2024). Complementary regulations such as minimum size limits, berried female protection, and seasonal closures further enhance population resilience and reproductive output (Sørdalen et al., 2018; Coleman et al., 2023).
- **Habitat enhancement:** Stocking efforts are strengthened when coupled with habitat improvements. Artificial reefs, mussel shell deposits, and kelp restoration projects can provide critical shelter and increase juvenile survival (Schmalenbach et al., 2011; Smale et al., 2022; Stamp et al., 2024). Maintaining or enhancing habitat complexity is especially important for early benthic phase survival.
- **Connectivity and ecosystem function:** Enhancement strategies should consider population connectivity and broader ecological roles. Genetic studies show that local hatchery releases align well with natural dispersal patterns (Ellis et al., 2017), supporting the importance of maintaining ecosystem function, not just species abundance.
- **Community engagement and stewardship:** Local communities and fishers play an essential role in long-term success. Participatory monitoring, reporting of tagged lobsters, and outreach programmes build ownership and help integrate restoration into local livelihoods (Blythe & Cvitanovic, 2020; Thébaud et al., 2023). Capacity-building initiatives that involve schools and fishing organizations further support sustainable outcomes.

Case studies and lessons learned

Real-world experiences in European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) restoration provide valuable insights into practical challenges, success factors, and evolving strategies.

Norway: Kvitsøy enhancement program (1990-1994)

The Kvitsøy program is one of the most successful European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) restoration efforts. Nearly 128,000 hatchery-reared juveniles were released over four years, with an overall recapture rate of 6.2%. In some years, hatchery-origin individuals contributed up to 40% of legal-sized catches (Addison & Bannister, 1994). Released females reached maturity and showed comparable fecundity and egg quality to wild lobsters, confirming their reproductive integration (Agnalt, 2008).

Key factors for success:

- Use of local broodstock with genetic screening.
- Focus on juvenile quality through refined rearing techniques.
- Strategic habitat-targeted releases.
- Long-term monitoring commitment.

- Strong engagement of local fishers.

Key lesson: Well-designed hatchery programs can substantially contribute to wild lobster stocks when integrated with local ecological and social contexts.

Germany: Helgoland artificial reef project (2000–2009)

At Helgoland, 5,400 juveniles were released alongside habitat enhancement using artificial reefs. Recapture rates ranged from 1–8% in open areas and up to 19% in semi-enclosed sites, with survival rates of 30–40% for some cohorts (Schmalenbach et al., 2011).

Key factors for success:

- Habitat-focused restoration through reef structures.
- Temperature-optimised releases (>12°C).
- Integration of behavioural research to inform release strategies.
- Semi-protected context reducing fishing pressure.

Key lesson: Combining habitat restoration with stocking efforts significantly improves outcomes for lobster recovery.

United Kingdom: Long-term restoration programs

The UK has developed some of Europe’s longest-running enhancement programs:

- **Bridlington Bay project (1983–1990):** 90,000 microtagged lobsters released with recapture rates around 1.6% (Bannister et al., 1994; Addison & Bannister, 1994).
- **Cornwall:** Genetic studies supported local hatchery initiatives without disrupting natural population structure (Ellis et al., 2017).
- **National Lobster Hatchery (Padstow):** Ongoing releases of tens of thousands of juveniles annually, combined with integrated conservation, research, and education efforts (National Lobster Hatchery, n.d.; Daniels et al., 2015).

Key lessons:

- Long-term commitment and adaptive monitoring are critical.
- Public engagement strengthens restoration initiatives.
- Advances in genetic and ecological monitoring enhance programme effectiveness.

Galicia, Spain: Adaptive restoration strategies

Galicia’s restoration approaches have evolved significantly over time:

- **Marine Reserve Programs (2007–2011):** Approximately 20,000 juveniles were released into areas such as the Os Miñarzos *Marine Reserve of Fishing Interest* (REMIP, from the Spanish *Reserva Mariña de Interese Pesqueiro*). Although overall recapture rates were modest (0.3%), targeted sampling revealed that 27.5% of captured lobsters in certain areas were marked individuals from the restoration effort, indicating localized success.
- **CLIMAREST Project (2022–2025):** This recent initiative represents a shift toward a more experimental and ecosystem-integrated approach, using mussel raft (batea) habitats to refine lobster restoration strategies.

Key innovations and approaches:

- Testing different SBCC (Sea-Based Container Culture) systems to improve juvenile grow-out performance, seeking to optimise survival and growth before release through better container designs and rearing conditions.
- Prioritizing the selection of high-quality sites with abundant natural shelter and food, rather than solely focusing on rearing high numbers of juveniles.
- Using European lobster as a flagship species for broader habitat restoration in degraded coastal zones, aiming to combine species recovery with ecosystem rehabilitation.
- Pilot studies integrating artificial reef structures and behavioural research to maximise post-release survival.
- Application of acoustic telemetry and in-situ monitoring to assess habitat use and adaptation of released lobsters.

Key lesson:

The Galician experience highlights the importance of combining species-focused restoration with broader habitat recovery goals, adapting rearing and release strategies to local environmental contexts, and building knowledge progressively through pilot experiments before scaling up.

References

Addison, J. T., & Bannister, R. C. A. (1994). Re-stocking and enhancement of clawed lobster stocks: a review. *Crustaceana*, 131-155.

Agnalt, A. L., Grefsrud, E. S., Farestveit, E., & Jørstad, K. E. (2017). Training camp—A way to improve survival in European lobster juveniles?. *Fisheries Research*, 186, 531-537

Agnalt, A., Kristiansen, T., & Jørstad, K. (2007). Growth, reproductive cycle, and movement of berried European lobsters (*Homarus gammarus*) in a local stock off southwestern Norway. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 64(2), 288-297.

Agnalt, A. L. (2008). Fecundity of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) off southwestern Norway after stock enhancement: do cultured females produce as many eggs as wild females?. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 65(2), 164-170.

Amelot, M., Normand, J., Schlaich, I., & Ernande, B. (2024). Spillover and competitive exclusion in the crustacean community following the implementation of a marine reserve. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 81(9), 1827-1836.

Aspaas, S., Grefsrud, E. S., Fernö, A., Jensen, K. H., Trengereid, H., & Agnalt, A. L. (2016). An enriched environment promotes shelter-seeking behaviour and survival of hatchery-produced juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*). *PLoS One*, 11(8), e0159807.

Attramadal, K. J., Øien, J. V., Kristensen, E., Evjemo, J. O., Kjørsvik, E., Vadstein, O., & Bakke, I. (2021). UV treatment in RAS influences the rearing water microbiota and reduces the survival of European lobster larvae (*Homarus gammarus*). *Aquacultural Engineering*, 94, 102176.

Bannister, R. C. A., Addison, J. T., & Lovewell, S. R. J. (1994). Growth, movement, recapture rate and survival of hatchery-reared lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* (Linnaeus, 1758)) released into the wild on the English east coast. *Crustaceana*, 156-172.

Beard, T. W., Richards, P. R., & Wickins, J. F. (1985). The techniques and practicability of year-round production of lobsters, *Homarus gammarus* (L.), in laboratory recirculation systems (p. 22). *Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Directorate of Fisheries Research*.

Blythe, J., & Cvitanovic, C. (2020). Five organizational features that enable successful interdisciplinary marine research. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 539111.

Browne, R., Benavente, G. P., Uglem, I., Balsa, J. C. M., & Browne, R. (2009). An illustrated hatchery guide for the production of clawed lobsters:(using a green water technique). *Dún Laoghaire: Bord Iascaigh Mhara*.

Carere, C., Nascetti, G., Carlini, A., Santucci, D., & Alleva, E. (2015). Actions for restocking of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*): a case study on the relevance of behaviour and welfare assessment of cultured juveniles. *Rendiconti Lincei*, 26, 59-64.

Clarke, L. J., Griffin, R. A., Domoney, E., Smith, H. C. M., Tilsley, L. J., Ellis, C., Theobald, E., Slater, M., Scolding, J., Cuthbertson, S., Jones, R. E., Johanning, L., & Daniels, C. L. (2023). Low-impact rearing of a commercially valuable shellfish: sea-based container culture of European lobster *Homarus gammarus* in the United Kingdom. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, 15, 215-230.

Criddle, K. R., & Chang, E. S. (1985). Effects of container transparency and reflectivity upon oxygen consumption of hybrid lobsters. *Aquaculture*, 47(4), 373-376.

- Daniels, C. L., Merrifield, D. L., Boothroyd, D. P., Davies, S. J., Factor, J. R., & Arnold, K. E. (2010). Effect of dietary *Bacillus* spp. and mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) on European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) larvae growth performance, gut morphology and gut microbiota. *Aquaculture*, 304(1-4), 49-57.
- Davies, C. E., & Wootton, E. C. (2018). Current and emerging diseases of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*): a review. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 94(3), 959-978.
- De Wit, P., Svanberg, L., Casties, I., Eriksson, S. P., Sundell, K., & André, C. (2022). Single nucleotide polymorphisms are suitable for assessing the success of restocking efforts of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*, L.). *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 14(1), 47-52.
- Debuse, V. J., Addison, J. T., & Reynolds, J. D. (1999). The effects of sex ratio on sexual competition in the European lobster. *Animal behaviour*, 58(5), 973-981.
- Debuse, V. J., Addison, J. T., & Reynolds, J. D. (2003). Effects of breeding site density on competition and sexual selection in the European lobster. *Behavioral Ecology*, 14(3), 396-402.
- Dow, R. L. (1978). Effects of sea-surface temperature cycles on landings of American, European and Norway lobsters. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 38(2), 271-272.
- Drengstig, A., & Bergheim, A. (2013). Commercial land-based farming of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) in recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) using a single cage approach. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 53, 14-18.
- Egidius, E. (1972). On the internal bacterial flora of the European lobster (*Homarus vulgaris* L.) and its susceptibility of Gaffkaemia. *Aquaculture*, 1, 193-197.
- Ellis, C. D., Hodgson, D. J., Daniels, C. L., Boothroyd, D. P., Bannister, R. C. A., & Griffiths, A. G. (2015). European lobster stocking requires comprehensive impact assessment to determine fishery benefits. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72(suppl_1), i35-i48.
- Ellis, C. D., Hodgson, D. J., Daniels, C. L., Collins, M., & Griffiths, A. G. (2017). Population genetic structure in European lobsters: implications for connectivity, diversity and hatchery stocking. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 563, 123-137.
- Ellis, C. D., Paris, J. R., Jenkins, T. L., van Stralen, M. R., Steins, N. A., Schotanus, J., & Stevens, J. R. (2024). Genetic divergence and adaptation of an isolated European lobster population in the Netherlands. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 81(6), 1039-1052.
- Ennis, G. P. (1973). Endogenous rhythmicity associated with larval hatching in the lobster *Homarus gammarus*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 53(3), 531-538.

FAO. 2024. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2024: Blue Transformation in Action. Rome: *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*.

Ferrero, E. A., Privileggi, N., Scovacricchi, T., & Van Der Meer, G. (2002). Does lunar cycle affect clawed lobster egg hatching and moulting frequency of hatchery-reared juveniles?. *Ophelia*, 56(1), 13-22.

Galparsoro, I., Borja, Á., Bald, J., Liria, P., & Chust, G. (2009). Predicting suitable habitat for the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*), on the Basque continental shelf (Bay of Biscay), using Ecological-Niche Factor Analysis. *Ecological Modelling*, 220(4), 556-567.

Goncalves, R., Lund, I., Sousa, D., & Skov, P. V. (2022). Shrimp waste meal (*Pandalus borealis*) as an alternative ingredient in diets for juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*, L.). *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 294, 115478.

Goncalves, R., Pfalzgraff, T., & Lund, I. (2024). Impact of live feed substitution with formulated diets on the development, digestive capacity, biochemical composition, and rearing water quality of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*, L.) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 586, 740776.

Goudeau, H., & Goudeau, M. (1986). Electrical and morphological responses of the lobster egg to fertilization. *Developmental Biology*, 114(2), 325-335.

Goudeau, M., Lachaise, F., Carpentier, G., & Goxe, B. (1990). High titers of ecdysteroids are associated with the secretory process of embryonic envelopes in the European lobster. *Tissue and Cell*, 22(3), 269-281.

Green, B. S., Gardner, C., & Meer, G. I. (2013). Enhancement of lobster fisheries to improve yield and value. *Lobsters: biology, management, aquaculture and fisheries*, 64-83.

Håstein, T., Roald, S. O., Kjos-Hansen, B., & Staveland, K. (1977). Occurrence of Gaffkaemia in Lobsters in Norway. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 18, 138-139.

Haugen, J. B., Cribari, K., Bundy, A., Hall, J., Fulton, E. A., Haugen, J. B., Link, J. S., Dickey-Collas, M., Leslie, H. M., Gentry, R. R., Parsons, D. M., Hassellöv, I.-M., Clark, D. E., Brainard, R. E., Levenson, J. J., Olsen, E., DePiper, G. S., Borja, A., Gelcich, S., Guilhon, M., Ban, N. C., Khan, A., Chuenpagdee, R., Mateos-Molina, D., Pedreschi, D., Defeo, O., Shannon, L., Bailey, S. A., Jordan, A., Agnalt, A. L., & Large, S. I. (2024). Marine ecosystem-based management: challenges remain, yet solutions exist, and progress is occurring. *Ocean Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44183-024-00041-1>

Hepper, B. T., & Gough, C. J. (1978). Fecundity and rate of embryonic development of the lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.), off the coast of North Wales. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 38(1), 54-57.

- Howard, A. E., & Bennett, D. B. (1979). The substrate preference and burrowing behaviour of juvenile lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* (L.)). *Journal of Natural History*, 13(6), 745–751.
- Howard, A. E., & Nunny, R. S. (1983). Effects of near-bed current speeds on the distribution and behaviour of the lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 71(1), 27–42.
- Jørstad, K. E., & Farestveit, E. (1999). Population genetic structure of lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) in Norway, and implications for enhancement and sea-ranching operation. *Aquaculture*, 173(1-4), 447-457.
- Jørstad, K. E., Farestveit, E., Kelly, E., & Triantaphyllidis, C. (2005). Allozyme variation in European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) throughout its distribution range. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 39(3), 515-526.
- Kleiven, A. R., Espeland, S. H., Stiansen, S., Ono, K., Zimmermann, F., & Olsen, E. M. (2022). Technological creep masks continued decline in a lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) fishery over a century. *Scientific reports*, 12(1), 3318.
- Knudsen, H., & Tveite, S. (1999). Survival and growth of juvenile lobster *Homarus gammarus* L. raised for stock enhancement within in situ cages. *Aquaculture Research*, 30(6), 421-425.
- Kraufvelin, P., Bergström, L., Sundqvist, F., Ulmestrand, M., Wennhage, H., Wikström, A., & Bergström, U. (2023). Rapid re-establishment of top-down control at a no-take artificial reef. *Ambio*, 52(3), 556-570.
- Krone, R., & Schröder, A. (2011). Wrecks as artificial lobster habitats in the German Bight. *Helgoland marine research*, 65, 11-16.
- Kurmaly, K., Jones, D. A., & Yule, A. B. (1990). Acceptability and digestion of diets fed to larval stages of *Homarus gammarus* and the role of dietary conditioning behaviour. *Marine Biology*, 106, 181-190.
- Latini, L., Nascetti, G., Grignani, G., Bello, E., Polverino, G., Canestrelli, D., & Carere, C. (2023). Limited holding space reduces growth and behavioural performance in juvenile European lobsters. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 266, 106013.
- Linnane, A., Uglem, I., Grimsen, S., & Mercer, J. P. (1997). Survival and Cheliped Loss of Juvenile Lobsters *Homarus gammarus* during Simulated Out-of-Water Transport. *The Progressive Fish-Culturist*, 59(1), 47-53.

- Lucien-Brun, H., van Wormhoudt, A., Lachaux, A., & Ceccaldi, H. J. (1985). Effects of compounded diets on the growth of juvenile lobsters, *Homarus gammarus* L.: biochemical estimate of the optimum protein composition of the diet. *Aquaculture*, 46(2), 97-109.
- Mackie, A. M., & Shelton, R. G. J. (1972). A whole-animal bioassay for the determination of the food attractants of the lobster *Homarus gammarus*. *Marine Biology*, 14, 217-221.
- Matić-Skoko, S., Pavičić, M., Šepić, J., Janeković, I., Vrdoljak, D., Vilibić, I., & Vujević, A. (2022). Impacts of sea bottom temperature on CPUE of European lobster *Homarus gammarus* (Linnaeus, 1758; Decapoda, Nephropidae) in the eastern Adriatic Sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9, 891197. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.891197>
- Middlemiss, K. L., Urbina, M. A., & Wilson, R. W. (2016). Effects of seawater alkalinity on calcium and acid–base regulation in juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) during a moult cycle. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 193, 22-28.
- Moksness, E., Støle, R., & van der Meeren, G. (1998). Profitability analysis of sea ranching with Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*), and European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) in Norway. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 62(2), 689-699.
- Moland, E., Ulmestrand, M., Olsen, E. M., & Stenseth, N. C. (2013). Long-term decrease in sex-specific natural mortality of European lobster within a marine protected area. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 491, 153–164.
- Moland, E., Carlson, S. M., Villegas-Ríos, D., Wiig, J. R., & Olsen, E. M. (2019). Harvest selection on multiple traits in the wild revealed by aquatic animal telemetry. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(10), 5708–5718.
- National Lobster Hatchery. (n.d.). Retrieved April 28, 2025, from <https://nationallobsterhatchery.co.uk>
- Perez Benavente, G., Uglem, I., Browne, R., & Marino Balsa, C. (2010). Culture of juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) in submerged cages. *Aquaculture International*, 18, 1177-1189.
- Roach, M., Revill, A., & Johnson, M. J. (2022). Co-existence in practice: a collaborative study of the effects of the Westernmost Rough offshore wind development on the size distribution and catch rates of a commercially important lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) population. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 79(4), 1175-1186.
- Schmalenbach, I., Mehrstens, F., Janke, M., & Buchholz, F. (2011). A mark-recapture study of hatchery-reared juvenile European lobsters, *Homarus gammarus*, released at the rocky island of Helgoland (German Bight, North Sea) from 2000 to 2009. *Fisheries Research*, 108(1), 22-30.

Schmalenbach, I., & Buchholz, F. (2013). Effects of temperature on the moulting and locomotory activity of hatchery-reared juvenile lobsters (*Homarus gammarus*) at Helgoland (North Sea). *Marine Biology Research*, 9(1), 19-26.

Sheehy, M. R. J., Bannister, R. C. A., Wickins, J. F., & Shelton, P. M. J. (1999). New perspectives on the growth and longevity of the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 56(10), 1904-1915.

Skerritt, D. J., Robertson, P. A., Mill, A. C., Polunin, N. V., & Fitzsimmons, C. (2015). Fine-scale movement, activity patterns and home-ranges of European lobster *Homarus gammarus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 536, 203-219.

Smale, D. A., King, N. G., Jackson-Bué, M., & Moore, P. J. (2022). Quantifying use of kelp forest habitat by commercially important crustaceans in the United Kingdom. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 102(8), 627-634.

Smith, I. P., Collins, K. J., & Jensen, A. C. (2000). Digital electromagnetic telemetry system for studying behaviour of decapod crustaceans. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 247(2), 209-222.

Sørdalen, T. K., Halvorsen, K. T., Harrison, H. B., Ellis, C. D., Vøllestad, L. A., Knutsen, H., Moland, E., & Olsen, E. M. (2018). Harvesting changes mating behaviour in European lobster. *Evolutionary Applications*, 11(6), 963-977.

Spanier, E. (2015). The Utilization of Lobsters by Humans in the Mediterranean Basin from the Prehistoric Era to the Modern Era—An Interdisciplinary Short Review. *Athens Journal of Mediterranean Studies*, 1(3), 223-234.

Stamp, T., Pittman, S. J., Holmes, L. A., Rees, A., Ciotti, B. J., Thatcher, H., Davies, P., Hall, A., Wells, G., Olczak, A., & Sheehan, E. V. (2024). Restorative function of offshore longline mussel farms with ecological benefits for commercial crustacean species. *Science of the Total Environment*, 951, 174987.

Sundelöf, A., Bartolino, V., Ulmestrand, M., & Cardinale, M. (2013). Multi-annual fluctuations in reconstructed historical time-series of a European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) population disappear at increased exploitation levels. *PLoS One*, 8(4), e58160.

Taormina, B., Laurans, M., Marzloff, M. P., Dufournaud, N., Lejart, M., Desroy, N., & Carlier, A. (2020). Renewable energy homes for marine life: Habitat potential of a tidal energy project for benthic megafauna. *Marine environmental research*, 161, 105131.

Thébaud, O., Nielsen, J. R., Motova, A., Curtis, H., Bastardie, F., Blomqvist, G. E., Daurès, F., Goti, L., Hozer, J., Innes, J., Muench, A., Murillas, A., Nielsen, R., Rosa, R., Thunberg, E., Villasante, S.,

Virtanen, J., Waldo, S., Agnarsson, S., Castilla-Espino, D., Curtin, R., DePiper, G., Doering, R., Ellefsen, H., García del Hoy, J.J., Gourguet, S., Greene, P., Hamon, K.G., Haynie, A., Kellner, J.B., Kuikka, S., Le Gallic, B., Macher, C., Prellezo, R., Santiago Castro-Rial, J., Sys, K., van Oostenbrugge, H & Vastenhoud, B. M. J. (2023). Integrating economics into fisheries science and advice: progress, needs, and future opportunities. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 80(4), 647-663.

Thomas, H. J. (1958). Some seasonal variations in the catch and stock composition of the lobster. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 24(1), 147-154.

Triantafyllidis, A., Apostolidis, A. P., Katsares, V., Kelly, E., Mercer, J., Hughes, M., K. E. Jørstad, A. Tsolou, R. Hynes & Triantaphyllidis, C. (2005). Mitochondrial DNA variation in the European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) throughout the range. *Marine Biology*, 146, 223-235.

Uglem, I., Næss, H., Farestveit, E., & Jørstad, K. E. (1996). Tagging of juvenile lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* (L.)) with visible implant fluorescent elastomer tags. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 15(6), 499-501.

van der Meeren, G. I. (1991). Out-of-water transportation effects on behaviour in newly released juvenile Atlantic lobsters *Homarus gammarus*. *Aquacultural engineering*, 10(1), 55-64.

van der Meeren, G. I., & Uksnøy, L. E. (2000). A comparison of claw morphology and dominance between wild and cultivated male European lobster. *Aquaculture International*, 8, 77-94.

van der Meeren, G. I. (2001). Effects of experience with shelter in hatchery-reared juvenile European lobsters *Homarus gammarus*. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 52(8), 1487-1493.

van Der Meeren, G. I. (2005). Potential of ecological studies to improve survival of cultivated and released European lobsters, *Homarus gammarus*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 39(2), 399-424.

Wahle, R. A., & Steneck, R. S. (1992). Habitat restrictions in early benthic life: experiments on habitat selection and in situ predation with the American lobster. *Journal of experimental Marine Biology and ecology*, 157(1), 91-114.

Walker, R. S. (1986). The first returns of tagged juvenile lobsters [*Homarus gammarus* (L.)] after release to the wild. *Aquaculture*, 52(3), 231-233.

Wickins, J. F. (1985). Ammonia production and oxidation during the culture of marine prawns and lobsters in laboratory recirculation systems. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 4(3), 155-174.

Wickins, J. F. (1986). Stimulation of crusher claw development in cultured lobsters, *Homarus gammarus* (L.). *Aquaculture Research*, 17(4), 267-273.

Wickins, J. F., Beard, T. W., & Child, A. R. (1995). Maximizing lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.), egg and larval viability. *Aquaculture Research*, 26(6), 379-392.

Wickins, J. F., Roberts, J. C., & Heasman, M. S. (1996a). Within-burrow behaviour of juvenile European lobsters *Homarus gammarus* (L.). *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Physiology*, 28(4), 229-253.

Wickins, J. F., & Barry, J. (1996b). The effect of previous experience on the motivation to burrow in early benthic phase lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* (L.)). *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Physiology*, 28(4), 211-228.

Whiteley, N. M., & Taylor, E. W. (1990). The acid–base consequences of aerial exposure in the lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.) at 10 and 20°C. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 15(2), 135–140.

Whiteley, N. M., Al-Wassia, A. H., & Taylor, E. W. (1995). The effects of sudden changes in temperature on aquatic and aerial respiration in the lobster, *Homarus gammarus* (L.). *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Phy*, 27(1), 13-27.

Yonge, C. M. (1946). Permeability and properties of the membranes surrounding the developing egg of *Homarus vulgaris*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 26(3), 432-438.